

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,579	03/11/2004	Eun-sung Lee	Q80074	4816
23373	7590 04/19/2006		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800			TADESSE, YEWEBDAR T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			1734	
			DATE MAILED: 04/19/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del>		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/797,579	LEE ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Yewebdar T. Tadesse	1734				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	 lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•					
•	<u> </u>						
′=	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)∟	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-2, 6-7 and 9-13 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-2,6-7 and 9-13 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9) <u></u> 10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 11 March 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment	i(s)		•				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔲 Inforn	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 'No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	ite atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/797,579 Page 2

Art Unit: 1734

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Priority**

1. Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) The invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-2 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Pancham et al (US 2005/0072525).

As to claims 1 and 10, Pancham et al discloses (see Figs 2A and 3-4; and paragraph 34) a spin coating apparatus for coating photoresist, comprising: a spin chuck (304) comprising a mount part (support member 402), for mounting a wafer thereon, and an extended projection part (upstanding member 401) capable of facilitating formation of an edge-bead thereon; and a nozzle (223) for depositing photoresist onto a wafer mounted on the mount part of the spin chuck, wherein the extended projection part of the spin chuck surrounds the entire circumference of the

wafer (perimeter of the substrate 250) while be in contact with the circumference of the wafer mounted on the mount part.

With respect to claim 2, Pancham et al discloses (see Figs 2A and 3-4; and paragraph 34) a spin coating apparatus for coating photoresist, comprising: a spin chuck (304) comprising a mount part, for mounting a wafer thereon, and an extended projection part (upstanding member 401) capable of facilitating formation of an edgebead thereon; and a nozzle (223) for depositing photoresist onto a wafer mounted on the mount part of the spin chuck; wherein the extended projection part of the spin chuck has a height lower than of the wafer mounted on the mount part (see Fig 4).

As to claim 9, in Pancham (see Fig 4) et al the extended projection part of the spin chuck is physically attached to the mount part of the spin chuck.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- Claims 6 and 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 6. Pancham et al (US 2005/0072525) as applied to claim 1 above and further in view of Emami et al (US 2003/0070695).

As to claims 6 and 12, Pancham et al lacks teaching a gas exhaust disposed so that gas is exhausted from an edge of the wafer in turning direction of the wafer and a centrifugal direction upon rotation of the wafer. Emami discloses (see Fig 4) a gas exhaust disposed above the wafer so that gas is exhausted from an edge of the wafer in turning direction of the wafer and a centrifugal direction upon rotation of the wafer. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a gas exhaust as claimed in Pancham et al to remove the edge bead (see Abstract).

7. Claims 7, 11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pancham et al (US 2005/0072525) in view of Emami et al (US 2003/0070695).

With respect to claims 7 and 13, Pancham et al is cited for the same reasons described above (see paragraph 3). Pancham et al lacks teaching a gas exhaust disposed so that gas is exhausted from an edge of the wafer in turning direction of the wafer and a centrifugal direction upon rotation of the wafer. Emami discloses (see Fig. 4) a gas exhaust disposed above the wafer so that gas is exhausted from an edge of the wafer in turning direction of the wafer and a centrifugal direction upon rotation of the wafer. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/797,579 Page 5

Art Unit: 1734

invention was made to include a gas exhaust as claimed in Pancham et al to remove the edge bead (see Abstract).

With respect to claim 11, Pancham et al discloses (see Figs 2A and 3-4; and paragraph 34) a spin coating apparatus for coating photoresist, comprising: a spin chuck (304) comprising a mount part (support member 402), for mounting a wafer thereon, and an extended projection part (upstanding member 401) capable of facilitating formation of an edge-bead thereon; and a nozzle (223) for depositing photoresist onto a wafer mounted on the mount part of the spin chuck, wherein the spin chuck further comprises a separation part (lift pin assembly 218) for separating the wafer from the spin chuck. Pancham et al discloses a separation part comprising a removable pin. However Pancham et al lacks showing a separation part comprising removable lift pins 9see paragraph 28). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include additional removable lift pin in Pancham et al to carry the substrate from both directions in lifting the substrate off the mount part or support.

## Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 1734

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yewebdar T. Tadesse whose telephone number is (571) 272-1238. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00 AM-4: 30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Fiorilla can be reached on (571) 272-1187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1734

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kewchen F-P

CURTIS LLANGE PRIMARY EXACT